#### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

#### 2001–19–52 Bell Helicopter Textron Canada: Amendment 39–12470. Docket No. 2001–SW–49–AD.

Applicability: Model 222, serial number (S/N) 47006 through 47089; Model 222B, S/N 47131 through 47156; Model 222U, S/N 47501 through 47574; and Model 230, S/N 23001 through 23038 helicopters, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Within 25 hours time-inservice or 3 months, whichever occurs first, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of a main rotor pendulum weight support (support), loss of a weight set resulting in main rotor vibration, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Remove from service all supports, part number 222–011–114–103, identified with a serial number having the prefix "HD" and replace with airworthy supports that do not have the S/N prefix "HD."

**Note 2:** Bell Helicopter Textron Canada Alert Service Bulletins 222–01–91, 222U–01–62, and 230–01–24, all dated May 18, 2001, pertain to the subject of this AD.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Regulations Group, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Regulations Group.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of

- compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Regulations Group.
- (c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with 14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (d) Emergency AD 2001–19–52, issued September 21, 2001, becomes effective upon receipt.

**Note 4:** The subject of this AD is addressed in Transport Canada (Canada) AD CF-2001-28, dated July 24, 2001.

(e) This amendment becomes effective on October 30, 2001, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2001–19–52, issued September 21, 2001, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 4, 2001.

#### Mark R. Schilling,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01–25692 Filed 10–12–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

# PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

#### 29 CFR Parts 4022 and 4044

Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing and Paying Benefits

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's regulations on Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans and Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans prescribe interest assumptions for valuing and paying benefits under terminating single-employer plans. This final rule amends the regulations to adopt interest assumptions for plans with valuation dates in November 2001. Interest assumptions are also published on the PBGC's Web site (http://www.pbgc.gov). EFFECTIVE DATE: November 1, 2001.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326–4024. (TTY/TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The PBGC's regulations prescribe actuarial assumptions—including interest assumptions—for valuing and paying

plan benefits of terminating singleemployer plans covered by title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The interest assumptions are intended to reflect current conditions in the financial and annuity markets.

Three sets of interest assumptions are prescribed: (1) A set for the valuation of benefits for allocation purposes under section 4044 (found in appendix B to part 4044), (2) a set for the PBGC to use to determine whether a benefit is payable as a lump sum and to determine lump-sum amounts to be paid by the PBGC (found in appendix B to part 4022), and (3) a set for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology (found in appendix C to part 4022).

Accordingly, this amendment (1) Adds to appendix B to part 4044 the interest assumptions for valuing benefits for allocation purposes in plans with valuation dates during November 2001, (2) adds to appendix B to part 4022 the interest assumptions for the PBGC to use for its own lump-sum payments in plans with valuation dates during November 2001, and (3) adds to appendix C to part 4022 the interest assumptions for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology for valuation dates during November 2001.

For valuation of benefits for allocation purposes, the interest assumptions that the PBGC will use (set forth in appendix B to part 4044) will be 6.50 percent for the first 20 years following the valuation date and 6.25 percent thereafter. These interest assumptions represent an increase (from those in effect for October 2001) of 0.40 percent for the first 20 years following the valuation date and are otherwise unchanged.

The interest assumptions that the PBGC will use for its own lump-sum payments (set forth in appendix B to part 4022) will be 4.75 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status, and 4.00 percent during any years preceding the benefit's placement in pay status. These interest assumptions represent an increase (from those in effect for October 2001) of 0.25 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status and are otherwise unchanged.

For private-sector payments, the interest assumptions (set forth in appendix C to part 4022) will be the same as those used by the PBGC for determining and paying lump sums (set forth in appendix B to part 4022).

The PBGC has determined that notice and public comment on this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This finding is based on the need to determine and issue new interest assumptions promptly so that the assumptions can reflect, as accurately as possible, current market conditions.

Because of the need to provide immediate guidance for the valuation and payment of benefits in plans with valuation dates during November 2001, the PBGC finds that good cause exists for making the assumptions set forth in this amendment effective less than 30 days after publication.

The PBGC has determined that this action is not a "significant regulatory

action" under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this amendment, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply. *See* 5 U.S.C. 601(2).

#### **List of Subjects**

#### 29 CFR Part 4022

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

## 29 CFR Part 4044

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions.

In consideration of the foregoing, 29 CFR parts 4022 and 4044 are amended as follows:

# PART 4022—BENEFITS PAYABLE IN TERMINATED SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 4022 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1302, 1322, 1322b, 1341(c)(3)(D), and 1344.

2. In appendix B to part 4022, Rate Set 97, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

# Appendix B to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates For PBGC Payments

\* \* \* \* \*

		For plans with a valu- ation date		Immediate annuity -	Deferred annuities (percent)					
Rate se	Rate set		Before (percent)		i <sub>1</sub>	<b>i</b> <sub>2</sub>	İ <sub>3</sub>	$n_I$	$n_2$	
*	*	*		*	*		*		*	
97		11–1–01	12–1–01	4.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	7	8	

3. In appendix C to part 4022, Rate Set 97, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

#### Appendix C to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates For Private-Sector Payments

	For plans with a valu- ation date		Immediate annuity -	Deferred annuities (percent)						
Rate set	i 	On or after	Before	rate (percent)	i <sub>1</sub>	$i_1$ $i_2$		n <sub>1</sub>	$n_2$	
*	*	*		*	*		*		*	
97		11–1–01	12-1-01	4.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	7		8

## PART 4044—ALLOCATION OF ASSETS IN SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

4. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.

5. In appendix B to part 4044, a new entry, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

## Appendix B to Part 4044—Interest Rates Used to Value Benefits

Can valvation a		The values of $i_t$ are:							
For valuation dates occurring in the month— —			İ <sub>t</sub>	for $t =$	$\dot{l}_t$	for t =	İ <sub>t</sub>	for t =	
*	*	*	*		*	*		*	
ovember 2001			.0650	1–20	.0625	>20	N/A	N/A	

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 10th day of October 2001.

#### John Seal,

Acting Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 01–25903 Filed 10–12–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7708–01–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD05-01-065]

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Southern Branch of the Elizabeth River, Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Chesapeake, VA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of temporary deviation

from regulations.

**SUMMARY:** The Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District, has approved a temporary deviation from the regulations governing the operation of the Gilmerton Highway Drawbridge across the Southern Branch of the Elizabeth River, mile 5.8, in Chesapeake, Virginia. The temporary deviation allows the bridge to remain closed from October 17 through December 16, 2001, unless the vessel requesting an opening provides onehour advance notice to the bridge tender. This change in regulation is necessary to perform needed repairs to the opening spans of the drawbridge.

**DATES:** This deviation is effective from 9 p.m. on October 17 until 5 a.m. on December 16, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ann B. Deaton, Bridge Administrator, Fifth Coast Guard District, at (757) 398–6222.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 17, 2001, the City of Chesapeake requested a temporary deviation from the current operating schedule of the Gilmerton Highway bridge set out in 33 CFR 117.997(d). The City of Chesapeake requested this deviation to perform repairs to the bridge that would raise vehicular weight restrictions to allow limited use by heavier trucks.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35, the District Commander approved the City of Chesapeake's request for a temporary deviation from the governing regulations in a letter dated September 20, 2001.

The Coast Guard has informed the known commercial users of the waterway of the change to the regulations concerning this bridge so that these vessels can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

The temporary deviation allows the Gilmerton Highway bridge across the Southern Branch of the Elizabeth River, mile 5.8, to remain closed from 9 p.m. eastern time on October 17, through 5 a.m. eastern time on December 16, 2001, except that the draw shall open during this closure period with a one-hour advance notice to the bridge tender.

Dated: October 2, 2001.

#### T.W. Allen,

Vice Admiral, U.S.C.G., Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 01–25906 Filed 10–12–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA-4174; FRL-7080-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; NO<sub>X</sub> RACT Determination for Koppel Steel Corporation in the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking final action to approve a revision to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revision was submitted by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) to establish and require reasonably available control technology (RACT) for the Koppel Steel Corporation's Ambridge Plant, a major source of nitrogen oxides (NOx) located in the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone nonattainment area (the Pittsburgh area). EPA is approving this revision to establish RACT requirements in the SIP in accordance with the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This final rule is effective on October 30, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; and the Pennsylvania Department of

Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marcia Spink, (215) 814–2104 or by email at *spink.marcia@epa.gov*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

On August 8, 2001, PADEP submitted revisions to the Pennsylvania SIP which establish and impose case-by-case RACT for several sources of VOC and/or NO $_{\rm X}$ . This rulemaking pertains to the Commonwealth's submittal of operating permit (OP) 04–000–227 which imposes NO $_{\rm X}$  RACT requirements for the Koppel Steel Corporation's Ambridge Plant, a major source of NO $_{\rm X}$  located in the Pittsburgh area. The remaining sources are the subject of separate rulemakings.

On August 24, 2001, EPA published a direct final rule (66 FR 44544) and a companion notice of proposed rulemaking (66 FR 44581) to approve these SIP revisions. On September 7, 2001, we received adverse comments on our direct final rule from the Citizens for Pennsylvania's Future (PennFuture). On September 28, 2001 (66 FR 49541), we published a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the direct final rule did not take effect. We indicated in our August 24, 2001 direct final rulemaking that if we received adverse comments, EPA would address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule (66 FR 44581). This is that subsequent final rule. A description of the RACT determination(s) made for each source was provided in the August 24, 2001 direct final rule and will not be restated here. A summary of the comments submitted by PennFuture germane to this final rulemaking and EPA's responses are provided in Section II of this document.

#### **II. Public Comments and Responses**

The Citizens for Pennsylvania's Future (PennFuture) submitted adverse comments on twenty proposed rules published by EPA in the **Federal Register** between August 6 and August 24, 2001 to approve case-by-case RACT SIP submissions from the Commonwealth for  $NO_X$  and or VOC sources located in the Pittsburgh area. PennFuture's letter includes general comments and comments specific to EPA's proposals for certain sources. A summary of those comments and EPA's responses are provided below.

A. Comment: PennFuture comments that EPA has conducted no independent technical review, and has prepared no technical support document to survey