for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

Carolina Power & Light Company, et al., Docket No. 50–400, Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Wake and Chatham Counties, North Carolina

Date of application for amendment: May 29, 2002.

Brief description of amendment: This amendment revises the Technical Specification (TS) 3/4.3.3.6 "Accident Monitoring Instrumentation" and associated Bases for Reactor Vessel Level and In Core Temperature monitoring to be consistent with NUREG—1431, Revision 2, "Standard Technical Specifications Westinghouse Plants."

Date of issuance: May 30, 2002. Effective date: May 30, 2002. Amendment No. 110.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-63. Amendment revises the TS.

Public comments requested as to proposed no significant hazards consideration (NSHC):

No. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment, finding of emergency circumstances, state consultation, and final NSHC determination are contained in a Safety Evaluation dated May 30, 2002.

Attorney for licensee: William D. Johnson, Vice President and Corporate Secretary, Carolina Power & Light Company, Post Office Box 1551, Raleigh, North Carolina 27602.

NRC Section Chief: Thomas Koshy, Acting.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of June, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Stuart A. Richards**,

Acting Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02–14339 Filed 6–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Notice of Extension of Comment Period for Draft Information Quality Guidelines

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On May 1, 2002, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC)

published a notice in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 21779) announcing the availability of its draft information quality guidelines on the PBGC's Web site (http://www.pbgc.gov), and inviting public comments on the draft guidelines by May 31, 2002. This notice announces an extension of the May 31, 2002, comment deadline to June 30, 2002.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 30, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to the Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026, or delivered to Suite 340 at the above address. Comments also may be sent by Internet e-mail to reg.comments@pbgc.gov. Copies of comments may be obtained by writing the PBGC's Communications and Public Affairs Department (CPAD) at Suite 240 at the above address or by visiting or calling CPAD during normal business hours (202–326–4040).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, or James L. Beller, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326–4024. (TTY/TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 22, 2002, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) published "Guidelines for Ensuring and Maximizing the Quality, Objectivity, Utility, and Integrity of Information Disseminated by Federal Agencies; Republication" in the Federal Register (67 FR 8452). In accordance with these OMB guidelines, the PBGC posted draft information quality guidelines on its Web site and, in a **Federal Register** notice (67 FR 21779, May 1, 2002), announced the availability of those draft guidelines and invited public comment by May 31, 2002.

Under OMB guidelines, agencies were to consider any public comments, make appropriate revisions, and submit draft information quality guidelines for OMB review no later than July 1, 2002. In response to public requests to some agencies to extend their comment deadline, OMB has informed the PBGC that it intends to extend the deadline for agencies to submit their draft guidelines for OMB review to August 1, 2002. Consistent with OMB's extension, the PBGC is extending the May 31, 2002, comment deadline to June 30, 2002.

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 6th day of June, 2002.

Steven A. Kandarian,

Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 02–14658 Filed 6–10–02; 8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Rule 15c1–5, SEC File No. 270–422, OMB Control No. 3235–0471 Rule 15c1–6, SEC File No. 270–423, OMB Control No. 3235–0472

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 USC 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 15c1–5 (17 CFR 240.15c1–5) states that any broker-dealer controlled by, controlling, or under common control with the issuer of a security that the broker-dealer is trying to sell to or buy from a customer must give the customer written notification disclosing the control relationship at or before completion of the transaction. The Commission estimates that 360 respondents collect information annually under Rule 15c1–5 and that approximately 3,600 hours would be required annually for these collections.

Rule 15c1–6 (17 CFR 240.15c1–6) states that any broker-dealer trying to sell to or buy from a customer a security in a primary or secondary distribution in which the broker-dealer is participating or is otherwise financially interested must give the customer written notification of the broker-dealer's participation or interest at or before completion of the transaction. The Commission estimates that 725 respondents collect information annually under Rule 15c1–6 and that approximately 7,250 hours would be required annually for these collections.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the existing collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the