petitions to exempt from control under the CSA a two products each containing esterified estrogens and methyltestosterone. In a letter dated July 16, 2002, DEA provided a copy of these petitions to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) along with a request for evaluation and recommendation. In a letter dated September 14, 2002, the Assistant Secretary of Health for HHS recommended that both Syntest H.S. and Syntest D.S. be exempted from controls under the CSA based on their similarity to the products, Estratest H.S. and Estratest, respectively, both of which have been exempted from control under the CSA. A subsequent examination of DEA databases did not

reveal any evidence of abuse or diversion of Estratest H.S. and Estratest.

The Deputy Assistant Administrator, having reviewed the application, recommendation of the Secretary, and other relevant information, finds that Syntest H.S. and Syntest D.S. have no significant potential for abuse. Information on these products is given below.

# **EXEMPT ANABOLIC PRODUCTS**

Trade name	Company	Form	Ingredients	Quantity
Syntest H.S	Syntho Pharmaceuticals, Farmingdale, NY.	Tablets	Esterfied Estrogrens	0.62mg/Tablet.
Syntest D.S	Syntho Pharmaceuticals, Farmingdale, NY.	Tablets	Methylestosterone	1.25mg/Tablet. 1.25mg/Tablet.
	NI.		Methylestosterone	2.5mg/Tablet.

Therefore, the Deputy Assistant Administrator hereby orders that the above anabolic steroid products be added to the list of products excluded from application of the CSA and referenced in 21 CFR 1308.34

Interested persons are invited to submit their comments in writing with regard to this interim rule. If any comments or objections raise significant issues regarding any finding of fact or conclusion of law upon which this order is based, the Deputy Assistant Administrator shall immediately suspend the effectiveness of this order until she may reconsider the application in light of the comments and objections filed. Thereafter, the Deputy Assistant Administrator shall reinstate, revoke, or amend her original order as she determines appropriate.

# **Regulatory Certifications**

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The granting of exemption status relieves persons who handle the exempted products in the course of legitimate business from the registration, record keeping, security, and other requirements imposed by the CSA. Accordingly, the Deputy Assistant Administrator certifies that this action will not have a significant economic impact upon a substantial number of small entities whose interest must be considered under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. (5 U.S.C. 605(b)).

#### Executive Order 12866

It has been determined that drug control matters are not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pursuant to the provisions of Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this action is not subject to those provisions of Executive Order 12l778 which are contingent upon review by OMB. Nevertheless, the Deputy Assistant Administrator has determined that this is not a "major rule," as that term is used in Executive Order 12866, and that it would otherwise meet the applicable standards of sections 2(a) and 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12788.

#### Executive Order 12988

This interim rule meets the applicable standards set forth in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988 Civil Justice Reform.

# Executive Order 13132

This interim rule does not preempt or modify any provision of state law; nor does it impose enforcement responsibilities on any state; nor does it diminish the power of any state to enforce its own law. Accordingly, this rulemaking does not have federalism implications warranting the application of Executive Order 13132.

# Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

This interim rule will not result in the expenditure by State, local or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year, and will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996

This interim rule is not a major rule as defined by section 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This rule will not result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100,000,000 or more; a

major increase in costs or prices, or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign-based companies in domestic and export markets.

Dated: January 6, 2003.

#### Laura M. Nagel,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control.

[FR Doc. 03–772 Filed 1–14–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

# PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

## 29 CFR Parts 4022 and 4044

Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing and Paying Benefits

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's regulations on Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans and Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans prescribe interest assumptions for valuing and paying benefits under terminating single-employer plans. This final rule amends the regulations to adopt interest assumptions for plans with valuation dates in February 2003. Interest assumptions are also published on the PBGC's Web site (http://www.pbgc.gov).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 1, 2003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326–4024. (TTY/TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PBGC's regulations prescribe actuarial assumptions—including interest assumptions—for valuing and paying plan benefits of terminating single-employer plans covered by title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The interest assumptions are intended to reflect current conditions in the financial and annuity markets.

Three sets of interest assumptions are prescribed: (1) A set for the valuation of benefits for allocation purposes under section 4044 (found in Appendix B to Part 4044), (2) a set for the PBGC to use to determine whether a benefit is payable as a lump sum and to determine lump-sum amounts to be paid by the PBGC (found in Appendix B to Part 4022), and (3) a set for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology (found in Appendix C to Part 4022).

Accordingly, this amendment (1) adds to Appendix B to Part 4044 the interest assumptions for valuing benefits for allocation purposes in plans with valuation dates during February 2003, (2) adds to Appendix B to Part 4022 the interest assumptions for the PBGC to use for its own lump-sum payments in plans with valuation dates during February 2003, and (3) adds to Appendix C to Part 4022 the interest

assumptions for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology for valuation dates during February 2003.

For valuation of benefits for allocation purposes, the interest assumptions that the PBGC will use (set forth in Appendix B to part 4044) will be 5.10 percent for the first 20 years following the valuation date and 5.25 percent thereafter. These interest assumptions represent a decrease (from those in effect for January 2003) of 0.20 percent for the first 20 years following the valuation date and are otherwise unchanged.

The interest assumptions that the PBGC will use for its own lump-sum payments (set forth in Appendix B to part 4022) will be 3.75 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status and 4.00 percent during any years preceding the benefit's placement in pay status. These interest assumptions represent a decrease (from those in effect for January 2003) of 0.25 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status and are otherwise unchanged.

For private-sector payments, the interest assumptions (set forth in Appendix C to part 4022) will be the same as those used by the PBGC for determining and paying lump sums (set forth in Appendix B to part 4022).

The PBGC has determined that notice and public comment on this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This finding is based on the need to determine and issue new interest assumptions promptly so that the assumptions can reflect, as accurately as possible, current market conditions.

Because of the need to provide immediate guidance for the valuation and payment of benefits in plans with valuation dates during February 2003, the PBGC finds that good cause exists for making the assumptions set forth in this amendment effective less than 30 days after publication.

The PBGC has determined that this action is not a "significant regulatory action" under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this amendment, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply. See 5 U.S.C. 601(2).

## **List of Subjects**

29 CFR Part 4022

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### 29 CFR Part 4044

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions.

In consideration of the foregoing, 29 CFR parts 4022 and 4044 are amended as follows:

# PART 4022—BENEFITS PAYABLE IN TERMINATED SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 4022 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1302, 1322, 1322b, 1341(c)(3)(D), and 1344.

2. In appendix B to part 4022, Rate Set 112, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

# Appendix B to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates For PBGC Payments

Rate set	For plans with a valuation date		Immediate _	Deferred annuities (percent)					
	On or after	Before	annuity rate (percent)	i <sub>1</sub>	i <sub>2</sub>	i <sub>3</sub>	$n_1$	$n_2$	
*	*		*	*	*		*	*	
112	2-1-03	3-1-03	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	7	8	

<sup>3.</sup> In appendix C to part 4022, Rate Set 112, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

# Appendix C to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates For Private-Sector Payments

\* \* \* \* \*

Rate set	For plans with a valuation date		Immediate	Deferred annuities (percent)					
	On or after	Before	_ annuity rate (percent)	$i_1$	$i_2$	i <sub>3</sub>	$n_1$	$n_2$	
*	*		*	*	*		*	*	
112	2-1-03	3-1-03	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	7	8	

# PART 4044—ALLOCATION OF ASSETS IN SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

4. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.

5. In appendix B to part 4044, a new entry, as set forth below, is added to the

table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

## Appendix B to Part 4044—Interest Rates Used to Value Benefits

\* \* \* \* \*

Consideration dates accoming in the month			The values of it are:						
For valuation dates occurring in the month—		i <sub>t</sub>	for t =	i <sub>t</sub>	for t =	i <sub>t</sub>	for t=		
*	*	*	*		*	*		*	
February 2003			.0510	1–20	.0525	>20	N/A	N/A	

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 9th day of January 2003.

# Joseph H. Grant,

Deputy Executive Director and Chief Operating Officer, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 03–829 Filed 1–14–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7708–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 165

[COTP Miami 02-156]

RIN 2115-AA97

Security Zones; Port of Palm Beach, Palm Beach, FL; Port Everglades, Fort Lauderdale, FL; Port of Miami, Miami, FL; and Port of Key West, Key West, FL

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing security zones in the Captain of the Port Miami area for national security reasons to protect the public and ports from potential subversive acts. Similar security zones have been in effect under temporary rules following the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, on the World Trade

Center and Pentagon. Entry into these zones will be prohibited, unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port, Miami, Florida, or his designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from December 16, 2002 until 11:59 p.m. on February 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of (COTP Miami-02–156) and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Miami, 100 MacArthur Causeway, Miami Beach, FL 33139 between 7:30 a.m. and 3 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LTJG Jennifer Sadowski, Waterways

Management Division Officer, Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Miami, at (305) 535–8750.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Regulatory Information**

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this temporary regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM. Publishing a NPRM, which would incorporate a comment period before a final rule was issued, would be contrary to the public interest since immediate action is needed to protect

the public, ports and waterways of the United States. We did publish a NPRM on November 5, 2002 (67 FR 67342) proposing to make these same security zones permanent. The comment period for the NPRM closed on December 5, 2002 and this temporary rule will ensure vessels are protected while we draft the final rule.

For the same reasons, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. The Coast Guard will issue a broadcast notice to mariners and place enforcement vessels in the vicinity to advise mariners of the restriction.

#### **Background and Purpose**

The terrorist attacks of September 2001 killed thousands of people and heightened the need for development of various security measures throughout the seaports of the United States, particularly around those vessels and facilities which are frequented by foreign nationals and maintain an interest to national security. The President has continued the national emergencies he declared following the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks (67 FR 58317 (Sep. 13, 2002) (continuing national emergency with respect to terrorist attacks), 67 FR 59447 (Sep. 20, 2002) (continuing national emergency