



Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation  
1200 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005-4026

SEP 30 2005



Re:  Case 194605, Shasta Paper Company, Inc. Hourly  
Pension Plan (the Shasta Plan)

Dear

The Appeals Board reviewed your appeal of PBGC's June 16, 2004 determination of your PBGC benefit from the Shasta Plan, which was based on PBGC's determination that the Shasta Plan was not formally adopted until May 2, 2000. As explained below, the Board decided that the Shasta Plan was first adopted on January 8, 1999. As a result, PBGC's guarantee of benefits based on the \$35 and \$37 benefit rates will be phased-in at the \$40/40% level instead of the \$20/20% level that PBGC previously had determined.

As a result of the Board's decision, PBGC's Benefits Administration & Payment Department will recalculate your PBGC benefit based on the Shasta Plan having been first adopted on January 8, 1999, and send you a corrected determination of your PBGC benefit amount. The corrected determination will include a new 45-day appeal right. Please note that your corrected PBGC benefit amount could be higher or lower than the amount stated in PBGC's June 25, 2004 determination letter. Also, as explained in the Discussion section below, the change in your benefits (if any) is likely to be relatively small. The change is likely to be small because, even though PBGC had "phased in" your guaranteed benefits at 20%, PBGC also had concluded that you were entitled to more than 40% of your Shasta Plan benefit based on a plan asset allocation.

*PBGC's Determination and Your Appeal Letters*

Your December 20, 2002 letter said that the information PBGC used to calculate your estimated benefit was inaccurate. Your December 2002 letter appears to suggest, based on the ten documents you included with your letter, that the Shasta Plan was adopted on its original effective date (January 8, 1999). The Appeals Board responded to your December 2002 letter on January 7, 2003 and told you that your 2002 appeal filing was considered premature because PBGC had not yet issued you a formal benefit determination of your final PBGC benefit from the Shasta Plan.

PBGC's June 16, 2004 formal benefit determination letter told you that you were entitled to a PBGC benefit of \$118.26 per month payable as a Five-Year Certain and

Continuous Annuity. The letter noted that because PBGC underpaid you, PBGC would send you a separate check for the total underpayment plus interest. PBGC included a Benefit Statement showing some of the details of its calculation of your final PBGC benefit.

Your July 19, 2004 appeal said PBGC used *inaccurate information* to calculate your benefit. With your appeal, you included a pay stub showing that Shasta was paying you a pension benefit of \$268.99 before PBGC became the Shasta Plan's trustee, and another pay stub showing that Simpson is paying you \$645.00, not the \$708.00 shown as your Simpson offset on your PBGC Benefit Statement.

### Discussion

PBGC provides pension insurance in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA). If a plan sponsor is unable to support its pension plan, PBGC becomes trustee of the plan and pays benefits as defined in the plan subject to the limitations and requirements set by Congress under ERISA.

#### 1. Your PBGC-Guaranteed Benefit

Your PBGC-guaranteed benefit is less than your full Shasta Plan benefit because of the legal limits on guaranteed benefits set by Congress when it enacted ERISA. One of those limits, the Five-Year Phase-In, affects the amount of your PBGC benefit.

Under this limit, PBGC may guarantee benefits in new plans or increases in benefits resulting from plan amendments only to the extent of \$20 per month or 20% of the increase, whichever is larger, for each full year that the plan or benefit increase was in effect before the plan's termination date. For this purpose, a new plan or a benefit increase starts to be "in effect" as of its effective date or its adoption date, whichever date is later.

Because ERISA requires that the benefits payable under a qualified defined benefit plan be defined in written form and because PBGC's copy of the first written Shasta Plan document was signed and dated May 2, 2000, PBGC determined that all three of the pre-May 1, 2001 benefit rates listed in that document (\$35 effective January 8, 1999; \$37 effective May 1, 1999; \$39 effective May 1, 2000) were adopted less than two full years before the Shasta Plan terminated on October 31, 2001. As a result, PBGC calculated the Shasta Plan benefits using the \$35, \$37, and \$39 benefit rates, but limited its guarantee of those benefits to \$20 per month or 20% of the benefit, whichever is larger. Similarly, PBGC concluded that the benefit rates greater than \$39 are not guaranteed at all because their effective dates were all less than one full year before the Shasta Plan's termination date.

The Appeals Board reviewed documents in PBGC's files and found that Shasta

Paper, formerly known as Shasta Acquisition, Inc., acquired the Shasta Mill division of Simpson Paper Company, pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement (APA) dated January 8, 1999. Section 6.3.1.3 of the APA required Shasta Paper to

"establish or provide a defined benefit plan for Hired Hourly Employees (the 'New Hourly Defined Benefit Plan'), which plan shall provide Hired Hourly Employees with benefits substantially comparable to those required under the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The New Hourly Defined Benefit Plan shall recognize Simpson Service for Hired Hourly Employees for purposes of eligibility to participate, eligibility for benefits (including early retirement) and vesting, but not benefit accrual, in the new Hourly Defined Benefit Plan."

The APA defined "Collective Bargaining Agreement" (Simpson CBA) as the "Labor Agreement between Simpson Paper Company, Shasta Mill, California, and the Union from May 1, 1996 to April 30, 2000. . ." The pages from the Simpson CBA that you provided with your appeal show benefit rates of \$35 (effective May 1, 1998) and \$37 (effective May 1, 1999).

Although the Appeals Board could not locate a signed version of the APA, the Board concluded that it probably was executed on or before January 8, 1999, because it is unlikely that an asset purchase transaction of this type would have occurred before the execution of a formal agreement. Thus, based on the terms of the APA and the terms in the Simpson CBA, the Appeals Board concluded that the \$35 and \$37 benefit rates were both adopted more than two but less than three full years before the Shasta Plan terminated. As a result, PBGC will recalculate the guaranteed portion of all Shasta Plan benefits calculated using the \$35 and \$37 benefit rates by limiting these benefits to \$40 per month or 40% of the benefit, whichever is larger.

The effective date of the increase in the benefit rate from \$37 to \$39 is May 1, 2000, which is more than one but less than two full years before the Shasta Plan terminated. So, PBGC will recalculate the guaranteed portion of the increase resulting from the change from the \$37 benefit rate to the \$39 benefit rate by limiting the increase to \$20 per month or 20% of the increase, whichever is larger.

2. Your Full PBGC Benefit Based on the Allocation of Assets and Section 4022(c) of ERISA

When the value of plan assets exceeds the value of benefits that PBGC guarantees (which appears to be the case with the Shasta Plan), PBGC will pay additional nonguaranteed benefits based on an allocation of plan assets to benefits. See **Enclosure 1** for a description of ERISA's priority categories for this allocation. With respect to the Shasta Plan, ERISA requires PBGC to allocate the Shasta Plan's assets first to guaranteed benefits in Priority Category 4 (because there are no Shasta Plan benefits in Priority Categories 1 through 3) and then to non-guaranteed benefits in Priority Category 5.

In addition to the allocation of plan assets, ERISA requires PBGC to allocate an additional amount of money (the ERISA § 4022(c) amount) based on PBGC's average recoveries from the plan sponsors of terminated plans. PBGC calculated the Shasta Plan's ERISA § 4022(c) amount using the Small Plan Average Recovery Ratio (SPARR) for 2001, the year in which the Shasta Plan terminated. The SPARR is calculated based on a specific formula in ERISA § 4022(c) that PBGC is required to use. The 2001 SPARR is 9.6%. So, PBGC calculated the Shasta Plan's ERISA § 4022(c) amount to be \$561,758, which is 9.6% of the difference between the value of all Shasta Plan benefits (\$9,576,275) and the value of the Shasta Plan's assets (\$3,724,370).

It is very likely that, in spite of the Appeals Board's decision that the \$35 and \$37 benefit rates should be phased in at the \$40/40% phase-in level instead of the \$20/20% phase-in level, the Shasta Plan's assets will still be greater than the value of all PBGC-guaranteed benefits. In that case, the total value of benefits that PBGC can pay to the group of all Shasta Plan participants -- that is, the combined total of guaranteed benefits, plan asset allocation benefits, and § 4022(c) benefits -- would remain the same. In other words, if the Shasta Plan's assets are still sufficient to cover PBGC-guaranteed benefits even when the \$35 and \$37 benefit rates are phased in at the \$40/40% level, the total value of all benefits payable by PBGC to Shasta Plan participants will be equal to \$4,286,128, which is the sum of the Shasta Plan's final assets (\$3,724,370) and the Shasta Plan's § 4022(c) amount (\$561,758). However, even though the total value of all benefits payable by PBGC to Shasta Plan participants is likely to remain the same, some participants' PBGC-payable benefits will increase while other participants' PBGC-payable benefits will decrease. Such increases or decreases, which will result directly from the rules that PBGC must follow in calculating PBGC-payable benefits, in most cases are likely to be relatively small.

### 3. Offset of Your Simpson Pension Plan Benefit Amount

Your July 19, 2004 appeal letter suggested that PBGC should have used an offset amount of \$645 per month, the amount you are receiving under the Simpson Plan, rather than the \$708 offset amount shown on your PBGC Benefit Statement.

**Enclosure 2** shows that before PBGC became the Shasta Plan's trustee, the Shasta Plan's former administrator used the \$708 offset amount when the Shasta Plan started paying you your original benefit amount of \$268.99  $[((23.12 \times \$43) - \$708) \times 0.94]$ . This calculation methodology conforms to the example shown in the Shasta Plan's Summary Plan Description. See page 4 of **Enclosure 3**. The Appeals Board decided that PBGC properly followed the former Shasta Plan administrator's methodology and that this methodology accords with the Shasta Plan's provisions.

Decision

Having applied the law and PBGC's rules to the facts of this case, the Appeals Board decided that the Shasta Plan was first adopted on January 8, 1999. As a result of the Board's decision, PBGC's Benefits Administration & Payment Department will recalculate your PBGC benefit based on the Shasta Plan having been first adopted on January 8, 1999, and send you a corrected determination of your PBGC benefit amount. The corrected determination will include a new 45-day appeal right.

We regret the delay in responding to your appeal and appreciate your patience while we completed our review. If you need other information from PBGC, please call PBGC's Customer Contact Center at 1-800-400-7242.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Michel Louis".

Michel Louis  
Appeals Board Member

Enclosures (3)